Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 078972

71

ORIGIN EB-11

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 EA-11 NEA-10 IO-12 ADP-00 L-03 DOTE-00

CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 FMC-04 INR-10 NSAE-00 RSC-01

CG-00 COA-02 AID-20 CEA-02 FRB-02 OPIC-12 TRSE-00

CIEP-02 LAB-06 SIL-01 OMB-01 TAR-02 AGR-20 OIC-04

STR-08 /170 R

66640

DRAFTED BY: EB/ MA: RAWEBB APPROVED BY: EB/ MA: RAWEBB

L/ EB- FWILLIS

EUR/RPE-JRTARRANT(INFO)

----- 043344

PR 262153 ZAPR 73

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION OECD PARIS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY OSLO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN PRIORITY

AMCONSUL HAMBURG PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY PARIS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY ROME PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY ATHENS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY MADRID PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY TOKYO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY WELLINGTON PRIORITY

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

USMISSION GENEVA

UNCLAS STATE 078972

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 078972

E. O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: ETRN, UNCTAD, OECD

SUBJECT: SHIPPING: CODE OF CONDUCT FOR LINER CONFERENCES

REF: (A) OECD PARIS 9231 (B) DEPT A-1498, FEB. 17, 1973

- 1. AT OECD/ MTC SPECIAL GROUP (SG) ON UNCTAD MEETING MARCH 26-30 (REF A) US REP PROMISED TO PROVIDE OTHER DELS WITH US PROPOSAL FOR SECTION ON IMPLEMENTATION OF CODE OF CONDUCT. WE HAVE PREPARED TENTATIVE TEXT WHICH HOWEVER HAS NOT BEEN CLEARED WITH RELEVANT AGENCIES. IN ORDER HOWEVER TO OBTAIN SG CONSIDERATION OF US TENTATIVE IDEAS IT IMPERATIVE TO PROVIDE OTHER SG MEMBERS WITH TEXT ASAP. THIS MAY BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH NOW- ESTABLISHED SG PROCEDURE BY PRESENTING TENTATIVE TEXT AS PERSONAL PROPOSAL OF US REP (RONALD WEBB, DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF MARITIME AFFAIRS, DEPARTMENT OF STATE).
- 2. ACTION ADDRESSEES THEREFORE REQUESTED TO TRANSMIT TEXTS IN PARAS. 3 AND 4 BELOW TO INDIVIDUALS IDENTIFIED REF B ABOVE (EXCEPT DENMARK: BREDHOLT VICE BRUUN- PEDERSEN, FRANCE: BARNARD VICE KALTENBACH) MAKING CLEAR IT IS PERSONAL PROPOSAL AND DOES NOT REPRESENT DEFINITIVE US POSITION. USOECD PARIS SHOULD REQUEST SECRETARIAT TO CIRCULATE TEXT AS SG DOCUMENT.
- 3. " US DRAFT PROPOSAL FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION SECTION OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT FOR LINER CONFERENCES
- " UNDER THIS PROPOSAL THE PROVISIONS ON " CONSULTATION" . WOULD APPEAR UNDER THE SECTION , RELATIONS WITH SHIPPERS" RATHER THAN IN THE "IMPLEMENTATION" SECTION.
- " THIS PROPOSAL IS PREDICATED ON THE HYPOTHESIS THAT THE CODE IS INCORPORATED INTO A CONVENTION. IT SAYS VERY LITTLE ABOUT RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES OR ENFORCEMENT OF THE CODE BECAUSE WE DO NOT BELIEVE THAT IT IS POSSIBLE, GIVEN THE GREAT VARIETY OF NATIONAL LEGAL, POLITICAL, AND COMMERCIAL TRADITIONS OF THE COUNTRIES NEGOTIATING THE CODE, UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 078972

TO ESTABLISH UNIFORM DISPUTE- RESOLUTION OR ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES. THEREFORE IT IS LEFT LARGELY TO EACH COUNTRY TO DECIDE HOW TO IMPLEMENT AND TO ENFORCE THE CODE.

- " WHILE IT IS TRUE THAT THIS MAY GIVE RISE TO SOME VAGARIES IN INTERPRETATION OF THE CODE, WE DO NOT BELIEVE THIS TO BE AS SERIOUS A RISK OR PROBLEM AS THE OTHER ALTERNATIVES, I. E. NATIONAL REGULATION WITHOUT A UNIFORM CODE, A UNIFORM CODE ENFORCED THROUGH COMPULSORY INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION, ETC.
- " FINALLY, WE BELIEVE THAT THE APPROACH DESCRIBED BELOW FULFILLS THE MANDATE SET FORTH IN UN RESOLUTION 3035 (XXVII), FOR " A DRAFT CONVENTION OR ANY OTHER MULTI-LATERAL LEGALLY BINDING INSTRUMENT." A CONVENTION

WOULD BY ITSELF FULFILL THE LEGALLY BINDING CRITERION; THE MANDATE DOES NOT STATE HOW THE LEGAL COMMITMENT NEEDS TO BE CARRIED OUT BY THE INDIVIDUAL COUNTRIES.

- " THE TEXT OF OUR PROPOSAL IS AS FOLLOWS:
 - E. IMPLEMENTATION
- "1. LINER CONFERENCES AND THEIR MEMBERS SHALL CONFORM THEIR PRACTICES TO THE PROVISIONS OF THIS CODE AS A MINI-MUM STANDARD OF CONDUCT. AGREEMENTS BETWEEN CONFERENCE MEMBERS, OR BETWEEN CONFERENCES AND SHIPPERS' ORGANIZATION, ON MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CODE, SHALL BE IN CONFORMITY WITH THE CODE.
- "2. THE CODE MAY BE IMPLEMENTED IN A MORE SPECIFIC FORM ACCORDING TO THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE RESPECTIVE TRADES IN DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHIC REGIONS, SO LONG AS SUCH IMPLEMENTATION IS NOT INCONSISTENT WITH THE CODE ITSELF. SUCH IMPLEMENTATION MAY INTER ALIA TAKE THE FORM OF LOCAL OR REGIONAL AGREEMENTS BETWEEN CONFERENCES AND SHIPPERS' ORGANIZATIONS OR SHIPPERS' REPRESENTATIVES.
- "3. IN DISPUTES ARISING BETWEEN PRIVATE PARTIES OVER THE PROVISIONS OF THIS CODE THE PARTIES SHALL ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE THEM, IN THE FIRST INSTANCE, BY THE CONSULTATION UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 STATE 078972

AND SELF- POLICING MECHANISMS DESCRIBED IN THIS CODE, AND IN THE SECOND INSTANCE THROUGH COMMERCIAL CONCILIATION AND ARBITRATION UPON AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES CONCERNED. WHERE POSSIBLE, ARBITRATION CLAUSES SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE AGREEMENTS AND CONTRACTS CONCLUDED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THIS CODE.

- "4. 1. IN THE EVENT OF A DISPUTE BETWEEN A
 PRIVATE PARTY AND A CONFERENCE WHICH CANNOT BE RESOLVED
 IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF PARA. (3) ABOVE:
- (A) THE PRIVATE PARTY SHALL, IF CLAIMING INJURY, BE ENTITLED TO SEEK REDRESS (IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NATIONAL LAWS) IN EITHER
 - (I) THE COUNTRY IN WHICH THE HEADQUARTERS OF THE CONFERENCE IS LOCATED, OR
 - (II) THE COUNTRY WHERE THE ACTIVITY OF THE CONFERENCE WHICH GAVE RISE TO THE CLAIM TOOK PLACE:
- (B) THE CONFERENCE SHALL, IF CLAIMING INJURY, BE ENTITLED TO SEEK REDRESS (IN ACCORDANCE WITH NATIONAL LAWS) IN EITHER
 - (I) THE COUNTRY IN WHICH THE HEADQUARTERS OF THE PRIVATE PARTY IS LOCATED, OR
 - (II) THE COUNTRY WHERE THE ACTIVITY OF THE

PRIVATE PARTWHICH GAVE RISE TO THE CLAIM TOOK PLACE;

- (C) IN ADDITION TO (A) OR (B), AND IF THE CLAIM IS BASED ON A CONTRACT PROVISION, THE PRIVATE PARTY OR CONFERENCE SHALL BE ENTITLED TO SEEK REDRESS IN THE COUNTRY WHERE THE CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CONFERENCE AND PRIVATE PARTY OUT OF WHICH THE CLAIM ARISES WAS MADE, AND, IF THE CLAIM RELATES TO A SPECIFIC CONTRACT FOR CARRIAGE OF GOODS, OR TO THE CARRIAGE OF SPECIFIC GOODS, IN THE COUNTRY OF THE PORT WHERE CARRIAGE OF SUCH GOODS ORIGINATED OR WAS DESTINED.
- "4. 2. IF INJURY IS PROVED THE CLAIMANT SHALL BE ENTITLED TO DAMAGES AS PROVIDED BY THIS CONVENTION AND, TO THE EXTENT NOT INCONSISTENT THEREWITH, THE APPLICABLE NATIONAL LAW.
 UNCLASSIFIE

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 07 MAY 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 26 APR 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Authority: n/a Disposition Case Number: n/a

Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:

Document Number: 1973STATE078972
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: EB/ MA: RAWEBB

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730460/abqcemmc.tel Line Count: 178 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: ORIGIN EB

Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a

Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators: Previous Classification: n/a

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: (A) OECD PARIS 9231 (B) DEPT A-1498, FEB. 17, 1973
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: kellerpr

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: ANOMALY Review Date: 03 AUG 2001

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <03-Aug-2001 by reddocgw>; APPROVED <17-Aug-2001 by kellerpr>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN

Status: <DBA CORRECTED> jms 980205
Subject: SHIPPING: CODE OF CONDUCT FOR LINER CONFERENCES

TAGS: ETRN, OECD, UNCTAD
To: OECD PARIS

LONDON OSLO STOCKHOLM COPENHAGEN HAMBURG **PARIS**

THE HAGUE
BRUSSELS
ROME
ATHENS
MADRID
TOKYO
OTTAWA
CANBERRA
WELLINGTON INFO BONN
GENEVA
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005